

To: Beck, Nancy[Beck.Nancy@epa.gov]
Cc: Graham, Amy[graham.amy@epa.gov]
From: Bowman, Liz
Sent: Fri 7/7/2017 10:59:06 AM
Subject: Fwd: Media inquiry: TSCA new chemicals program update

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

Resent-From: <Press@epa.gov>
From: Kelly Franklin <kelly.franklin@chemicalwatch.com>
Date: July 6, 2017 at 5:20:55 PM EDT
To: "Graham, Amy" <graham.amy@epa.gov>
Cc: Press <Press@epa.gov>
Subject: Media inquiry: TSCA new chemicals program update

Hi Amy,

I hope you're doing well and had a nice 4th of July. As we're about halfway through the timeframe during which EPA said it would eliminate the backlog of PMNs, I wanted to check in to see how the agency is progressing with the new chemicals program. Is EPA still on track to clear out the backlog by month's end?

I'd also be interested in any additional information you can share about the future of the program, including:

- are there plans to convene stakeholders for dialogue about how to improve / streamline the process?
- is there any guidance in the works for how EPA evaluates new chemicals under the reformed TSCA and how regulated parties should adapt their practices correspondingly?
- has the agency resumed use of non-5(e) SNURs? If so, any data on how many it has issued since passage of Lautenberg, or, if not, does it plan to begin doing so soon?
- any additional changes in the works regarding how the agency runs the new chemicals program in view of lessons learned in the first year, evolving interpretations of Lautenberg, etc.?

I don't have a firm deadline, but would like to run a story early next week so any comments you could provide by the week's end or early Monday would be most appreciated.

Thanks,
Kelly

--

Kelly Franklin

North America Editor
Chemical Watch

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy